


ADDENDUM TO RFQ DOCUMENTS

| | |
|--|--|
|  SOLANO COMMUNITY COLLEGE | ADDENDUM: #03 |
| | SCCD RFQ: #15-001 |
| | Project: Solano Community College District Architectural Services Pool Request for Qualifications |
| | Date: 07/23/14 All Addenda can be found at the District Purchasing website: www.solano.edu/purchasing |

Addendum # 03 – The following clarifications are provided based on questions received and must be added/considered when completing your submittal: Acknowledgement of receipt of **ADDENDA #01 - #03** is required on the Statement of Qualification Cover Letter of Introduction. Please clearly note the addendum date and number.

ITEMS

1. Further clarification - Question #06 from Addendum #02

Question: Can sub-consultants projects be used for relevant project experience (5-15 public works projects in the last 7 years)?

Original Answer: No, unless the sub-consultant is being submitted as a Joint Venture Partner.

Clarification: The relevant project history is geared towards the architectural firm that will be submitting the SOQ to the District. However, in the SOQ review process, the District will be reviewing not only the architectural firm submitting their SOQ but also the sub-consultants body of work to see how the team as a whole will best fit the needs of the District. The District encourages teams (architects and sub-consultants) that will offer a unique approach to design solutions to submit an SOQ. Along with designs that meet the specific criteria of the RFQ teams are encouraged to submit a project(s) from within their body of work, whether or not that work was designed in either an educational or public works environment, that shows the firm's ability to think outside the box and offers a fresh view or approach to what educational facilities might look like.

2. Further Clarification - Question #10 from Addendum #02

Question: Are the delivery methods for the individual projects set in stone? We are particularly interested in the new Autotech Building and want to know if the District prefers the Design Build approach.

Original Answer: The District has set forth the delivery methods as described in the RFQ. At this point there is no direction to make a change.

Clarification: While the RFQ stated the delivery methods currently planned for each project, the District reserves the right to choose the delivery method that best suits a given project as it moves forward. As an example, it was stated in the RFQ that the Science Building is slated to be a Design Build project, however because the District encourages a high level of participatory (shared) governance when planning facilities, they may consider a LLB or DBB delivery method for that project to ensure that the user needs are adequately addressed for this type of building project.