

ADDENDUM TO RFP DOCUMENTS

	ADDENDUM #01
	SCCD RFQ: #15-011
	Project: Solano Community College District Autotechnology Prequalification Questionnaire Documents
	Date: 11/25/14

Addendum # 01 – The following clarifications are provided based on questions received and must be added/considered when completing your submittal: Acknowledgement of receipt of this **ADDENDUM** is required in the proposal’s cover letter of introduction. Please clearly note the addendum date and number.

ITEM:

1. **The Mandatory Prequalification Conference date for the Autotechnology Project Prequalification Questionnaire is Tuesday, December 2, 2014 at 2:00 PM. There will be NO official site tour after the Conference.**

Answers to Submitted Questions:

1. Will a Geotechnical Services firm in the approved Geotechnical Services Pool be excluded from the Design/Build RFQ process?

Answer: The Criteria Architect and their consultants and the construction manager for the Autotechnology Project are excluded from submitting a response or submitting as part of a D/B team to the RFQ.

2. Will an existing prequalification on file with the District qualify for this project?

Answer: An existing prequalification with the District will NOT prequalify a firm for this project. This RFQ and your response in your Statement of Qualifications are specific for this project.

3. Will it be possible to review the DBE Contract prior to the December 2 conference?

Answer: The DBE contract is currently under review by the District. This review will not be complete by the December 2 conference.

4. Can you please define “team members” (page 59) as it relates to the three example projects? Are you looking for a contractor/architect team who has worked together on three specific D/B projects (if so, can proposed team members use their experience while

with a previous firm)? Or, are you looking for a team that has collectively worked on three D/B projects?

Answer: All design build experience is relevant. If a person has experience with another firm and that person is on the organization chart for this project, that experience will be included and evaluated. Team members with CM-at-Risk, Design/Assist and other Integrated Delivery Systems will be evaluated as relevant experience.

5. Please confirm the level of completion of the bridging docs to be programming heavy/conceptual design?

Answer: The level of criteria documents will be programming heavy to convey the concept of the desired final design, the required program rooms/support areas with adjacencies and the performance that will be desired by building components. Emphasis is being placed on the District Standards that are on the District's website. The documentation will NOT be submitted to the DBE teams as a more traditional schematic design approved set of documents.

6. What is the size and budget of this building?

Answer: The project is currently based on a building of 18,000 square feet with a construction budget of \$12,500,000. Included in the budget is exterior landscaping. The building is to be a focal point for the campus located in the front of the campus.

7. The RFQ states the offices of the DBE to be within 75 miles of the project site for at least 1 year, please clarify.

Answer: The 75 mile request is a 75 radius to be taken from the District's main campus at 4000 Suisun Valley Road, Fairfield, CA 94534.

8. Page 11 in the Autotechnology Building RFQ asks for a Lab Consultant, is this correct? If so, what types of labs are being planned for this type of facility?

Answer: There are consultants whose specialty is the auto industry. The RFQ should have requested information on a specialty consultant instead of a lab consultant.