# **AGENDA ITEM** MEETING DATE May 15, 2019

## SOLANO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT **GOVERNING BOARD AGENDA ITEM**

TO:	Members of the Governing Board	
SUBJECT:	APPROVAL RESOLUTION #18/19-22 TO PREQUALIFY	
	CONTRACTORS FOR THE LIBRARY/LEARNING	
	<b>RESOURCE CENTER PROJECT (BUILDING 100</b>	
	REPLACEMENT)	
DEOLIESTED ACT		

## **KEQUESIED** ACTION:

Information	OR	Approval
Consent	OR	Non-Consent

#### SUMMARY:

The District has submitted final plans to the Chancellor's Office and State for final approval, authorization to bid, and release of construction phase State funding for the Library/Learning Resource Center Project. Once the District receives State authorization, the District will issue the project for bid. Due to the size and some of the unique features of the project, staff recommends prequalifying potential bidders.

The Board is asked to approve Resolution # 18/19-22, adopting the attached prequalifications process. The Request for Prequalification is available online at

http://www.solano.edu/measureg/2019/190515%20Resolution%20for%20GCs%20LLRC%20 Project.pdf

#### STUDENT SUCCESS IMPACT:

Help our students achieve their educational, professional and personal goals

Basic skills education

Workforce development and training

Transfer-level education

Other: Provide new instructional space and equipment

Board Policy:

Ed. Code:

Estimated Fiscal Impact: None

#### SUPERINTENDENT'S RECOMMENDATION:

APPROVAL NOT REQUIRED TABLE

DISAPPROVAL

Lucky Lofton **Executive Bonds Manager** PRESENTER'S NAME

4000 Suisun Valley Road Fairfield, CA 94534

#### ADDRESS

(707) 863-7855

TELEPHONE NUMBER Robert V. Diamond

Vice President, Finance & Administration

VICE PRESIDENT APPROVAL

May 3, 2019

DATE SUBMITTED TO SUPERINTENDENT-PRESIDENT May 15, 2019

Celia Esposito-Noy, Ed.D. Superintendent-President

DATE APPROVED BY SUPERINTENDENT-PRESIDENT

#### RESOLUTION NO. 18/19-22 OF THE SOLANO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT ADOPTING PREQUALIFICATION PROCESS FOR CONTRACTORS PURSUANT TO PUBLIC CONTRACT CODE SECTION 20651.5

WHEREAS, the Solano Community College District ("District") desires to prequalify contractors to be listed as potential bidders for the construction project known as the "Library/Learning Resource Center Project (Building 100 Replacement);"

WHEREAS, Public Contract Code section 20651.5 directs community college districts to require general contractors to submit a standardized prequalification questionnaire and financial statement (collectively "Prequalification Package") prior to bidding on the aforementioned construction projects;

WHEREAS, District staff has developed a standardized questionnaire in accordance with Public Contract Code section 20651.5 ("Questionnaire");

WHEREAS, District staff has developed a uniform system of rating potential contractors based upon the completed Questionnaires in accordance with Public Contract Code section 20651.5 ("Rating System");

WHEREAS, the Request for Prequalification attached hereto as **Exhibit "A"** includes a process for potential contractors to appeal certain decisions with respect to the prequalification process ("Appeal Process");

**WHEREAS**, the District desires to adopt the Request for Prequalification, including the Questionnaire, Rating System, and Appeal Process.

**NOW, THEREFORE**, the Governing Board of the Solano Community College District hereby finds, determines, declares, orders and resolves as follows:

**Section 1.** That the above recitals are true and correct.

**Section 2.** That the Questionnaire, the Rating System, and the Appeal Process is authorized pursuant to Public Contract Code section 20651.5.

**Section 3.** That the District's Superintendent/President, or designee, is authorized to implement this Prequalification Process, including accepting the final list of contractors, consistent with Public Contract Code section 20651.5.

**APPROVED**, **PASSED AND ADOPTED** by the Governing Board of the Solano Community College District on this 15<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2019, by the following vote:

AYES: NOES: ABSTENTIONS:

\_\_\_\_\_President of the Governing Board of theA. Marie YoungSolano Community College District

Attested to:

Clerk of the Governing Board of the Community College District

# **EXHIBIT A**

REQUEST FOR PREQUALIFICATION #RFQ #19-009 FOR

# GENERAL CONTRACTORS SEEKING TO BID ON THE

SOLANO COMMUNITY COLLEGE LIBRARY/LEARNING RESOURCE CENTER PROJECT (BUILDING 100 REPLACEMENT)



# SOLANO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT FAIRFIELD, CALIFORNIA

MAY 16, 2019

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
REQUEST F	FOR PREQUALIFICATION (RFQ) OF B LICENSED CONTRACTORS
PROJECT II	NFORMATION AND PREQUALIFICATION INSTRUCTIONS
-I.	NOTICE OF PREQUALIFICATION
- II.	PROJECT OVERVIEW
- 111.	PREQUALIFICATION PROCESS
- IV.	PREQUALIFICATION EVALUATION
- V.	PREQUALIFICATION APPEAL PROCESS
PREQUALIF	FICATION QUESTIONNAIRE
- 1	COMPANY CONTACT INFORMATION, DECLARATION AND AFFIDAVIT
- II.	ESSENTIAL REQUIREMENTS FOR PREQUALIFICATION
- 111.	ORGANIZATION HISTORY, ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE,
	COMPLIANCE WITH CIVIL AND CRIMINAL LAWS
- IV.	ORGANIZATIONS EXPERIENCE AND RECENT CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS COMPLETED
- V.	FINANCIAL STABILITY
- VI.	REQUIRED ATTACHMENTS

# PROJECT INFORMATION AND RFP INSTRUCTIONS

# I. NOTICE OF PREQUALIFICATION

**Notice is hereby given** that Solano Community College District ("District") is seeking to prequalify B licensed Contractors who wish to compete for the award of contract for the Library/Learning Resource Center Project. The District has determined that, pursuant to Public Contract Code section 20651.5 all bidders on construction of the Library Learning Resource Center Project must be pre-qualified prior to submitting a bid. All Contractors seeking to be prequalified must fully complete the prequalification questionnaire and provide all materials requested herein. No bid will be accepted from a Contractor that has failed to prequalify. If a Joint Venture intends to submit a bid, each entity within the Joint Venture must be separately prequalified.

# II. PROJECT OVERVIEW

The new Library/Learning Resource Center (Building 100 Replacement) project ("Project") is located in the heart of the District's Fairfield Campus at 4000 Suisun Valley Road, Fairfield, California. This Project includes construction of a new 59,252 sf, 2-story Library/Learning Resource Center (LLRC) building, demolition of five modular buildings (approximately 8,930sf) and the approximately 49,074 sf old library building, and new site improvements at these areas. The new LLRC building will contain library functions, tutoring program, TV Studio program, professional development office and training room, and the District's Data Center and IT department. These functions are currently located in the old Library building and will migrate to the new LLRC building when it is completed.

The foundation system will include rammed aggregate piers, footings and grade beams and floor slab. The main vertical and horizontal building structure will be steel moment frame (non-fireproofed). Ground floor exterior cladding is a rain screen with FRP panel and some metal panel sections. Upper floor exterior cladding is a storefront glazing system. Perforated metal panel sunscreens are projected from the building about much of the upper floor. The new building includes 2 elevators, 2 large open stairs, a bleacher-type tiered seating area, and a very large membrane skylight and corresponding atrium-type opening in the second floor. Site improvements will include patios, paths and landscaping about the new building, grading, paths, and landscaping of a new campus open space in the location of the old Library, replacement of a concrete on-campus sidewalk/fire access road, some improvements in existing parking lots, and miscellaneous related work.

This Project is anticipated to be issued for bid in July 2019, pending State approval to issue for bid. Construction is likely to commence in October 2019. The project budget is approximately \$34 million. Due to interface with an occupied campus, the following phases and timeframes have been established:

- Phase 1: Mobilize on site and demolish old portable buildings and site preparation for new building
- Phase 2: Construct new building. Building to be ready for occupancy June 1, 2021
- Phase 3: Demolish old Library building
- Phase 4: Construct site improvements in area of old Library building

The building is being designed with sustainability and 'green' building principles to equivalent *LEED* Silver rating. The building is also being designed to exceed Title 24 energy efficiency requirements by at least 15%.

<u>Project Labor Agreement</u>. The District has entered into a Project Labor Agreement that is applicable to this Project. The Contractor and all subcontractor tiers will be required to assent to the terms of the Project Labor Agreement.

<u>Small Local and Diverse Business Program</u>. The District has established a Small, Local, and Diverse Business Program (SLDBE Program) to ensure access, equity and inclusion of Solano County

businesses in the area of construction contracting associated with Measure Q Bond expenditures. The SLDBE participation goal is 20% of the total construction contract amount. SLDBE requirements may be achieved through the combined participation of the following:

- Local DBE Businesses (minimum 10%)
- Non-local DBE Businesses
- Local non-DBE Businesses

DBE businesses include:

- Certified Small Business Enterprises (SBEs)
- Certified Minority-owned Business Enterprises (MBEs)
- Certified Women-owned Business Enterprises (WBEs)
- Certified Disadvantaged Business Enterprises (DBEs)
- Certified Disabled Veteran-owned Business Enterprises (DVBEs)

Note that there is a concurrent goal of minimum 3% DVBE. This counts towards the 20% SLDB program goal, in either the local DBE or non-local DBE category above.

#### **III. PREQUALIFICATION PROCESS**

#### A. Prequalification Submittal Overview:

Answers to questions contained in the attached questionnaire, information about current bonding capacity, notarized statement from surety, and the most recent reviewed or audited financial statements, with accompanying notes and supplemental information, are required. The District will use these documents as the basis of rating Contractors. The District reserves the right to check other sources available. The District's decision will be based on the evaluation criteria in the attached Evaluation Forms.

The prequalification packages (questionnaire answers and financial statements) submitted by Contractors are not public records and are not open to public inspection. All information provided will be kept confidential to the extent permitted by law. However, the contents may be disclosed to third parties for purpose of verification, or investigation of substantial allegations, or in the appeal hearing. State law requires that the names of contractors applying for prequalification status shall be public records subject to disclosure, and the first page of the questionnaire will be used for that purpose.

Each questionnaire must be signed under penalty of perjury in the manner designated in the form, by an individual who has the legal authority to bind the Contractor on whose behalf that person is signing. If any information provided by a Contractor becomes inaccurate, the Contractor must immediately notify the District and provide updated accurate information in writing, under penalty of perjury.

The District may refuse to grant prequalification where the requested information and materials are not provided, or not provided by the due date. The closing time for submittals will not be changed in order to accommodate supplementation of incomplete submissions, or late submissions.

The District reserves the right to make other inquiries as permitted by law. The District reserves the right, in its sole discretion, to reject any or all prequalification packages, to waive irregularities and omissions in the information contained in the prequalification package submitted, and to make all final determinations.

Contractors that have submitted a Prequalification Package shall receive written notification of their prequalification status. The District reserves the right to suspend or rescind the prequalification based on subsequently learned information, including falsification of information. Regardless of the fact of prequalification, the District is not precluded from a post-bid consideration of a bidder's responsibility, including a determination of whether a bidder has the quality, fitness, capacity and experience to satisfactorily perform the proposed work, and has demonstrated the requisite trustworthiness.

#### B. Prequalification Forms:

All prequalification packages shall be on the forms provided by the District. Prequalification forms can be downloaded from the District's website at <u>www.solano.edu</u>.

#### C. Deadline for Submitting Prequalification Packages:

Interested contractors shall fully complete the prequalification package and submit one (1) unbound single sided original with attachments, five (5) bound copies with attachments and one (1) CD-R or thumb drive including a PDF copy of the prequalification package. Please tab all sections of the Prequalification Questionnaire according to the table of contents. Prequalification submittals must be marked clearly on the envelope with your firm name and **"RFQ #19-009 Prequalification for General Contractors for the Library/Learning Resource Center Project".** 

Contractors must "hand deliver" a fully completed prequalification package as detailed above on or prior to **2:00 P.M. on Tuesday, June 25, 2019.** Prequalification Submittals are to be delivered to:

Solano Community College District Laura Scott, Bond Purchasing Agent Building 600, Room 605 4000 Suisun Valley Road Fairfield, CA 94534

Submittals received after the specified time and date will not be considered and will be returned unopened to the sender. <u>THIS SUBMISSION DEADLINE WILL BE STRICTLY ENFORCED.</u> No fax, mail or e-mail copies will be accepted. The District reserves the right to request, receive, and evaluate supplemental information after the above time and date at its sole discretion.

#### D. Mandatory Pre-Submittal Conference:

A pre-submittal conference is scheduled for **Friday**, **May 31**, **2019** at **10:00 AM**. Interested parties are to meet at the Solano Community College District Denis B. Honeychurch Board Room, Building 600, 4000 Suisun Valley Road, Fairfield, CA 94534. The meeting will include a presentation of the Project, and will allow for questions regarding the prequalification package.

#### E. Questions about the Prequalification Process:

Contractors are required to submit any questions in writing, via e-mail only, to the District's Project Manager no later than <u>4:00 P.M. on Thursday June 13, 2019</u>. The District's Project Manager is:

Noe Ramos Project Manager Kitchell Email: **Noe.Ramos@solano.edu** 

No other questions will be received after the deadline. Only written inquiries will be permitted. Under no circumstance should any contractors contact, discuss with, or inquire of any District consultant, employee, or elected official on any matter relating to this solicitation process, except as provided above.

An Addendum listing the questions and answers will be issued to all attendees of the Mandatory Prequalification Conference and posted on the District's web site on **Tuesday**, **June 18**, **2019**.

This requirement is to ensure that the same information is communicated to all parties and that no inconsistent, incomplete, or inaccurate information is transmitted separately.

# IV. PREQUALIFICATION EVALUATION

The District intends to utilize the Department of Industrial Relations, Model Forms for Prequalification and suggested scoring method for questions. The scoreable questions arise in the following categories:

PARTI	Company Contact Information, Declaration
PART II	Essential Requirements for Qualification
PART III	Organization History, Organization Performance, Compliance with Civil and Criminal Laws
PART IV PART V	Organization's Experience and Recent Construction Projects Completed Financial Statements and Supplemental Information
PART VI	Required Attachments

*Note:* A contractor may be found not prequalified for prequalified for either:

- (1) Omission of requested information; or
- (2) Falsification of information

# V. PREQUALIFICATION APPEAL PROCESS

Where a Contractor is not pre-qualified, the Contractor may dispute the District's determination through the appeal process. An appeal is begun by the Contractor delivering a written request for an explanation of any aspect of the District's determination to the District's Project Manager:

Noe Ramos Project Manager Kitchell, B1102 4000 Suisun Valley Road Fairfield, CA 94534

A contractor's written request must be postmarked no later than five (5) business days after receipt of notice that the contractor is not prequalified.

Within five (5) working days from receipt of the District's written response to the Contractor's request, Contractor may submit, in writing, a request for reconsideration by the District's staff. Contractor may submit with the request any information that it believes supports a finding that District's determination should be changed.

The will render its decision within five (5) working days from receipt of Contractor's request for reconsideration, which shall be final and not subject to further appeal. It is the intention of the District that the date for the submission and opening of bids will not be delayed or postponed to allow for completion of an appeal process.

Without a timely appeal, the Contractor waives any and all rights to challenge the decision of the District, whether by administrative process, judicial process or any other legal process or proceeding.

# PREQUALIFICATION QUESTIONNAIRE

.

# PART I. COMPANY CONTACT INFORMATION and DECLARATION

Firm Name: (as it appears on lice			Check One:	<ul><li>Corporation</li><li>Partnership</li><li>Sole Prop.</li></ul>
Contact Person:				_
Address:				
Phone:	_Fax:	Email:		
If firm is a sole proprietor or partne	ership:			
Owner(s) of Company				
Contractor's License Number:				
Contractor's DIR Registration Nun	nber:			

#### DECLARATION

1. Acknowledgement and Release. By signature and date on this page, prospective bidder authorizes any financial institution, credit reporting agency and/or service, legal firm or any other type of business, agency or individual named within this document to release to the Solano Community College District (or Solano Community College District's designated representative) any and all information as that information relates, or could relate, to their ability to evaluate the background, stability and general worthiness of this bidder to perform current or future construction activities if Prequalified and awarded a contract by the Solano Community College District.

- a. A photocopy of this page shall be deemed as valid as an original document.
- b. This Acknowledgement and Release shall remain in effect until such time as the prospective bidder, in writing, requests that the Solano Community College District cease any attempt to evaluate himself/herself/themselves as potential Prequalified bidder for construction work on the Library/Learning Resource Center Project (Building 100 Replacement)..

2. I, the undersigned, certify and declare that I have read all the foregoing answers to this prequalification questionnaire and know their contents. The matters stated in the questionnaire answers are true of my own knowledge and belief, except as to those matters stated on information and belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is correct.

Dated:\_\_\_\_\_

(Signature)

(Print name and title)

# PART II. ESSENTIAL REQUIREMENTS FOR QUALIFICATION

	Except as otherwise provided, Contractor will be immediately disqualified if the answer to any of questions 1 through 6, 11 and 12 is "No."
	Contractor will be immediately disqualified if the answer to any of questions 7 through 10 is "Yes." <sup>1</sup>
1.	Contractor possesses a valid and current California Contractor's B license.
	Yes No
	Contractor is currently registered with the California Department of Industrial Relations.
	Yes No
2.	Contractor has Commercial Liability insurance in the amount of \$5,000,000 each occurrence and \$10,000,000 general aggregate for bodily injury, personal injury, property damage, advertising injury, and medical payments.
	Yes No
3.	Contractor has Automobile Liability insurance in the amount of \$1,000,000 combined single limit per accident and \$2,000,000 general aggregate for bodily injury and property damage.
4.	Contractor has Workers' Compensation Workers' Compensation limits as required by the Labor Code of the State of California and Employers Liability limits of \$1,000,000 per accident.
	Yes No
	Contractor is exempt from this requirement, because it has no employees
5.	Have you attached your latest copy of a <u>reviewed</u> or <u>audited</u> financial statement with accompanying notes and supplemental information.
	NOTE: A financial statement that is not either reviewed or audited is not acceptable. A letter verifying availability of a line of credit may also be attached; however, it will be considered as supplemental information only, and is not a substitute for the required financial statement.
	[CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A contractor disqualified solely because of a "Yes" answer given to question 7, 8, or 10 may appeal the disqualification and provide an explanation of the relevant circumstances during the appeal procedure.

6.	Have you attached a notarized statement from an admitted surety insurer (approved by the California Department of Insurance) and authorized to issue bonds in the State of California, which states: (a) that your current bonding capacity is sufficient for the project for which you seek prequalification if you are seeking prequalification for a single project; or (if you are seeking prequalification valid for a year) (b) your current available bonding capacity?
	NOTE: Notarized statement must be from the surety company, not an agent or broker.
7.	Has your contractor's license been suspended or revoked at any time in the last five (5) years?
8.	Has a surety firm completed a contract on your behalf, or paid for completion because your firm was in default and/or terminated by the project owner within the last five (5) years?
9.	At the time of submitting this prequalification form, is your firm ineligible to bid on or be awarded a public works contract, or perform as a subcontractor on a public works contract, pursuant to either Labor Code section 1777.1 or Labor Code section 1777.7?
	If the answer is "Yes," state the beginning and ending dates of the period of debarment:
10.	At any time during the last five (5) years, has your firm or any of its owners or officers been convicted of a crime involving the awarding of a contract of a government construction project, or the bidding or performance of a government contract?
11.	Is your firm currently the debtor in a bankruptcy case?
	If "yes," please attach a copy of the bankruptcy petition, showing the case number, and the date on which the petition was filed.
12.	Was your firm in bankruptcy any time during the last five years?
	If "yes," please attach a copy of the bankruptcy petition, showing the case number and the date on which the petition was filed, and a copy of the Bankruptcy Court's discharge order, or of any other document that ended the case, if no discharge order was issued.

13. Has your firm contracted for and completed construction of a library building with a completed construction value of \$30 million or more within the past seven (7) years? (The same project may be used to meet the requirements of guestions 11 and 12)



14. Has your firm contracted for and completed construction of at least three (3) building projects for K14, State or University each with a completed construction value of \$30 million or more within the past seven (7) years? (The same project may be used to meet the requirements of questions 11 and 12)

🗌 Yes 🗌 No

[CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE]

## PART III. ORGANIZATION HISTORY, ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE, COMPLIANCE WITH CIVIL AND CRIMINAL LAWS

#### A. CURRENT ORGANIZATION AND STRUCTURE OF THE BUSINESS

#### For Firms That Are Corporations:

- 1a. Date incorporated : \_
- 1b. Under the laws of what state:
- 1c. Provide all the following information for each person who is either (a) an officer of the corporation (president, vice president, secretary, treasurer), or (b) the owner of at least ten per cent of the corporation's stock.

Name	Position	Years with Co.	% Ownership

1d. Identify every construction firm that any person listed above has been associated with (as owner, general partner, limited partner or officer) at any time during the last five years.

# NOTE: For this question, "owner" and "partner" refer to ownership of ten percent (10%) or more of the business, or ten percent (10%) or more of its stock, if the business is a corporation.

Person's Name	Construction Firm	Dates of Person's Participation with Firm

#### For Firms That Are Partnerships:

- 1a. Date of formation: \_
- 1b. Under the laws of what state: \_
- 1c. Provide all the following information for each partner who owns 10 per cent or more of the firm.

Name	Position	Years with Co.	% Ownership

1d. Identify every construction company that any partner has been associated with (as owner, general partner, limited partner or officer) at any time during the last five years.

# NOTE: For this question, "owner" and "partner" refer to ownership of ten percent (10%) or more of the business.

Person's Name	Construction Company	Dates of Person's Participation with Company

#### For Firms That Are Sole Proprietorships:

- 1a. Date of commencement of business.
- 1b. Social security number of company owner.
- 1c. Identify every construction firm that the business owner has been associated with (as owner, general partner, limited partner or officer) at any time during the last five years.

Person's Name	Construction Company	Dates of Person's Participation with Company

#### For Firms That Intend to Make a Bid as Part of a Joint Venture:

- 1a. Date of commencement of joint venture.
- 1b. Provide all of the following information for each firm that is a member of the joint venture that expects to bid on one or more projects:

Name of firm	% Ownership of Joint Venture

#### B. HISTORY OF THE BUSINESS AND ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE

- 2. Has there been any change in ownership of the firm at any time during the last three (3) years? NOTE: A corporation whose shares are publicly traded is not required to answer this question.
  - 🗌 Yes 🗌 No

If "yes," explain on a separate signed page.

Is the firm a subsidiary, parent, holding company or affiliate of another construction firm?
 NOTE: Include information about other firms if one firm owns 50 percent (50%) or more of another, or if an owner, partner, or officer of your firm holds a similar position in another firm.

Yes No

If "yes," explain on a separate signed page.

Are any corporate officers, partners or owners connected to any other construction firms.
 NOTE: Include information about other firms if an owner, partner, or officer of your firm holds a similar position in another firm.



If "yes," explain on a separate signed page.

- 5. State your firm's gross revenues for each of the last three (3) years:
- 6. How many years has your organization been in business in California as a contractor under your present business name and license number? \_\_\_\_\_Years

#### C. LICENSES

- 7. List all California construction license numbers, classifications and expiration dates of the California contractor licenses held by your firm:
- 8. If any of your firm's license(s) are held in the name of a corporation or partnership, list below the names of the qualifying individual(s) listed on the CSLB records who meet(s) the experience and examination requirements for each license.
- 9. Has your firm changed names or license number in the past five (5) years?



If "yes," explain on a separate signed page, including the reason for the change.

10. Has any owner, partner or (for corporations) officer of your firm operated a construction firm under any other name in the last five (5) years?

Yes No

If "yes," explain on a separate signed page, including the reason for the change.

11. Has any CSLB license held by your firm or its Responsible Managing Employee (RME) or Responsible Managing Officer (RMO) been suspended within the last five years?

🗌 Yes 🗌 No

If "yes," please explain on a separate signed sheet.

#### D. DISPUTES

12. At any time in the last five (5) years has your firm been assessed liquidated damages after completion of a project under a construction contract with either a public or private owner?



If yes, explain on a separate signed page, identifying all such projects by owner, owner's address, the date of completion of the project, amount of liquidated damages assessed and all other information necessary to fully explain the assessment of liquidated damages.

13. In the last five (5) years has your firm, or any firm with which any of your company's owners, officers or partners was associated, been debarred, disqualified, removed or otherwise prevented from bidding on, or completing, any government agency or public works project for any reason?

NOTE: "Associated with" refers to another construction firm in which an owner, partner or officer of your firm held a similar position, and which is listed in response to question 1c or 1d on this form.

🗌 Yes 🗌 No

If "yes," explain on a separate signed page. State whether the firm involved was the firm applying for prequalification here or another firm. Identify by name of the company, the name of the person within your firm who was associated with that company, the year of the event, the owner of the project, the project and the basis for the action.

14. In the last five (5) years has your firm been denied an award of a public works project contract based on a finding by a public agency that your company was not a responsible bidder?

Yes No

If "yes," explain on a separate signed page. Identify the year of the event, the owner, the project and the basis for the finding by the public agency.

[CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE]

\* \* \* \* \*

NOTE: The following two questions refer only to disputes between your firm and the owner of a project. You need not include information about disputes between your firm and a supplier, another contractor, or subcontractor.

15. In the past five (5) years has any claim <u>against</u> your firm concerning your firm's work on a construction project been <u>filed in court or arbitration</u>?



If "yes," on separate signed sheets of paper identify the claim(s) by providing the project name, date of the claim, name of the claimant, a brief description of the nature of the claim, the court in which the case was filed and a brief description of the status of the claim (pending or, if resolved, a brief description of the resolution).

16. In the past five (5) years has your firm made any claim against a project owner concerning work on a project or payment for a contract and <u>filed that claim in court or arbitration</u>?



If "yes," on separate signed sheets of paper identify the claim by providing the project name, date of the claim, name of the entity (or entities) against whom the claim was filed, a brief description of the nature of the claim, the court in which the case was filed and a brief description of the status of the claim (pending, or if resolved, a brief description of the resolution).

\* \* \* \* \*

17. At any time during the past five (5) years, has any surety company made any payments on your firm's behalf as a result of a default, to satisfy any claims made against a performance or payment bond issued on your firm's behalf, in connection with a construction project, either public or private?



If "yes," explain on a separate signed page the amount of each such claim, the name and telephone number of the claimant, the date of the claim, the grounds for the claim, the present status of the claim, the date of resolution of such claim if resolved, the method by which such was resolved if resolved, the nature of the resolution and the amount, if any, at which the claim was resolved.

18. In the last five (5) years has any insurance carrier, for any form of insurance, refused to renew the insurance policy for your firm?



If "yes," explain on a separate signed page. Name the insurance carrier, the form of insurance and the year of the refusal.

[CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE]

#### E. CRIMINAL MATTERS AND RELATED CIVIL SUITS

19. Has your firm or any of its owners, officers or partners ever been found liable in a civil suit or found guilty in a criminal action for making any false claim or material misrepresentation to any public agency or entity?

🗌 Yes 🗌 No

If "yes," explain on a separate signed page, including identifying who was involved, the name of the public agency, the date of the investigation and the grounds for the finding.

20. Has your firm or any of its owners, officers or partners ever been convicted of a crime involving any federal, state, or local law related to construction?

Yes No

If "yes," explain on a separate signed page, including identifying who was involved, the name of the public agency, the date of the conviction and the grounds for the conviction.

21. Has your firm or any of its owners, officers or partners ever been convicted of a federal or state crime of fraud, theft, or any other act of dishonesty?

Yes No

If "yes," identify on a separate signed page the person or persons convicted, the court (the county if a state court, the district or location of the federal court), the year and the criminal conduct.

#### F. BONDING

22. Bonding capacity: Provide documentation from your surety identifying the following:

Name of bonding company/surety:

Name of surety agent, address and telephone number:

- 23. If your firm was required to pay a premium of more than one percent (1%) for a performance and payment bond on any project(s) on which your firm worked at any time during the last three (3) years, state the percentage that your firm was required to pay. You may provide an explanation for a percentage rate higher than one percent (1%), if you wish to do so.
- 24. List all other sureties (name and full address) that have written bonds for your firm during the last five (5) years, including the dates during which each wrote the bonds:

25. During the last five (5) years, has your firm ever been denied bond coverage by a surety company, or has there ever been a period of time when your firm had no surety bond in place during a public construction project when one was required?



If yes, provide details on a separate signed sheet indicating the date when your firm was denied coverage and the name of the company or companies that denied coverage; and the period during which you had no surety bond in place.

# G. COMPLIANCE WITH OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH LAWS AND WITH OTHER LABOR LEGISLATION SAFETY

Has CAL OSHA cited and assessed penalties against your firm for any "serious," "willful" or "repeat" violations of its safety or health regulations in the past five (5) years?
 NOTE: If you have filed an appeal of a citation, and the Occupational Safety and Health Appeals Board has not yet ruled on your appeal, you need not include information about it.



If "yes," attach a separate signed page describing the citations, including information about the dates of the citations, the nature of the violation, the project on which the citation(s) was or were issued, the amount of penalty paid, if any. If the citation was appealed to the Occupational Safety and Health Appeals Board and a decision has been issued, state the case number and the date of the decision.

27. Has the Federal Occupational Safety and Health Administration cited and assessed penalties against your firm in the past five (5) years?

NOTE: If you have filed an appeal of a citation and the Appeals Board has not yet ruled on your appeal, or if there is a court appeal pending, you need not include information about the citation.

Yes No

If "yes," attach a separate signed page describing each citation.

28. Has the state or federal EPA or any Air Quality Management District or any Regional Water Quality Control Board cited and assessed penalties against either your firm or the owner of a project on which your firm was the contractor, in the past five (5) years?
NOTE: If you have filed an appeal of a citation and the Appeals Board has not yet ruled on your

NOTE: If you have filed an appeal of a citation and the Appeals Board has not yet ruled on your appeal, or if there is a court appeal pending, you need not include information about the citation.

Yes No

If "yes," attach a separate signed page describing each citation.

29. How often do you require documented safety meetings to be held for construction employees and field supervisors during the course of a project?

30. List your firm's Experience Modification Rate (EMR) (California workers' compensation insurance) for each of the past three (3) premium years:
NOTE: An Experience Modification Rate is issued to your firm annually by your workers' compensation insurance carrier.
Current year: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_
Previous year: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_
Year prior to previous year: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
If your EMR for any of these three (3) years is or was 1.00 or higher you may, if you wish, attach a letter of explanation.
31. Within the last five (5) years has there ever been a period when your firm had employees but was without workers' compensation insurance or state-approved self-insurance?
Yes No

If "yes," please explain the reason for the absence of workers' compensation insurance on a separate signed page. If "No," please provide a statement by your current workers' compensation insurance carrier that verifies periods of workers' compensation insurance coverage for the last five (5) years. (If your firm has been in the construction business for less than five (5) years, provide a statement by your workers' compensation insurance carrier verifying continuous workers' compensation insurance coverage for the period that your firm has been in the construction business.)

## H. PREVAILING WAGE AND APPRENTICESHIP COMPLIANCE RECORD

32. Has there been more than one occasion during the last five (5) years in which your firm was required to pay either back wages or penalties for your own firm's failure to comply with the **state's** prevailing wage laws?

NOTE: This question refers only to your own firm's violation of prevailing wage laws, not to violations of the prevailing wage laws by a subcontractor.

🗌 Yes 🗌 No

If "yes," attach a separate signed page or pages, describing the nature of each violation, identifying the name of the project, the date of its completion, the public agency for which it was constructed; the number of employees who were initially underpaid and the amount of back wages and penalties that you were required to pay.

33. During the last five (5) years, has there been more than one occasion in which your own firm has been penalized or required to pay back wages for failure to comply with the **federal** Davis-Bacon prevailing wage requirements?



If "yes," attach a separate signed page or pages describing the nature of the violation, identifying the name of the project, the date of its completion, the public agency for which it was constructed; the number of employees who were initially underpaid, the amount of back wages you were required to pay along with the amount of any penalty paid.

- 34. Provide the **name, address and telephone number** of the apprenticeship program (approved by the California Apprenticeship Council) from whom you intend to request the dispatch of apprentices to your company for use on any public work project for which you are awarded a contract by the Solano Community College District
- 36. At any time during the last five (5) years, has your firm been found to have violated any provision of California apprenticeship laws or regulations, or the laws pertaining to use of apprentices on government construction projects?

NOTE: You may omit reference to any incident that occurred prior to January 1, 2014, if the violation was by a subcontractor and your firm, as general contractor on a project, had no knowledge of the subcontractor's violation at the time they occurred.



If "yes," provide the date(s) of such findings, and attach copies of the Department's final decision(s).

[CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE]

# PART IV. ORGANIZATION'S EXPERIENCE AND RECENT CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS COMPLETED

Provide information on projects completed or currently in progress that demonstrate your organization's experience with projects of similar scope, size and complexity as the Solano Community College District Library/Learning Resource Center Project. Provide specific project related experience, relevance of scope, size and complexity.

# 1. Relevant Project Experience

Provide information for six (6) public works projects completed within the last ten (10) years. Please use the Sample Format following this section.

At least three (3) of the examples should have construction values in excess of \$30,000,000, including at least one (1) project that is a K-14 project in the state of California with a construction value in excess of \$30,000,000. Relevant projects shall include as many of the following components and construction features as applicable:

- Library buildings in excess of 50,000 sf
- Drilled and rammed aggregate pier foundation systems
- Steel framed buildings
- Buildings with rain screen FRP siding/panels
- Buildings with extensive storefront systems
- Buildings with projected sunscreens
- Large polymer-type skylights
- Projects consisting of or which include college data centers
- Projects with complex interrelated building systems such as security monitoring and alarm, building and energy management systems, telecommunications, data distribution and other related sub-systems
- Projects in the center of educational campuses requiring work adjacent to existing sites/facilities that remain occupied and operational, while new work including utilities, site features, security, building and energy management, and telephone/data systems are constructed
- Demolition of buildings in excess of 45,000sf
- Projects whose agency of jurisdiction was California State Division of the State Architect (DSA)
- Projects that were designed to a USGBC minimum *LEED Silver* rating

Clearly identify the relevance of each project and be specific as to the nature of any self-performed work and the role of your organization in the management of the overall project. Photos and other graphic materials would be helpful to delineate each project.

[CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE]

## 2. Reference Interview Questions

This section includes a series of interview questions and questions about recently completed projects. **The District, in its sole discretion, may choose whether or not to conduct these reference interviews.** If the District chooses to conduct the interviews, the following questions will be used to interview randomly selected contacts from <u>at least two (2)</u> completed projects. No action on the Contractor's part is necessary. <u>The</u> <u>following questions are included for the Contractors' information only:</u>

- 1. Are there any outstanding stop payment notices, liens, or claims by the contractor that are currently unresolved on contracts for which notices of completion were recorded more than 120 days ago? (1 point for each is deducted from overall score; maximum amount to be deducted is 5 points)
- 2. On a scale of 1-10, with 10 being the best, did the contractor provide adequate personnel? (Max. 10 points)
- 3. On a scale of 1-10, with 10 being the best, did the contractor provide adequate supervision? (Max. 10 points)
- 4. On a scale of 1-10, with 10 being the best, was there adequate equipment provided on the job? (Max. 10 points)
- 5. On a scale of 1-10, with 10 being the best, was the contractor timely in providing reports and other paperwork, including change order paperwork and scheduling updates? (Max. 10 points)
- 6. On a scale of 1-10, with 10 being the best, did the contractor adhere to the project schedule that your agency or business approved? (Max. 10 points)
- 7. Was the project completed on time? (10 points if the answer is "Yes"). Or, if the answer is "no," on a scale of 1-10, with 10 being the least responsible, how responsible was the contractor for the delay?
- 8. On a scale of 1-10, with 10 being the best, rate the contractor on the timely submission of Change Order requests. (Max. 10 points)
- 9. On a scale of 1-10, with 10 being the best, rate the contractor on how well the contractor performed the work after a change order was issued, and how well the contractor integrated the change order work into the existing work. (Max. 10 points).
- 10. On a scale of 1-10, with 10 being the best, rate how the contractor performed in the area of Operation & Maintenance manuals, as-built drawings, providing required training and resolving warranty items? (Max. 10 points)
- 11. On a scale of 1-10, with 10 being the lowest amount, rate the contractor on whether there were an unusually high number of claims, given the nature of the project. (Max. 10 points)
- 12. On a scale of 1-10, with 10 being the timeliest, did the contractor make timely payments to either subcontractors or suppliers? (If the person being interviewed knows of no such difficulties, the score on this question should be "10.")
- 13. On a scale of 1-10, with 10 being the best, how would you rate the quality of the work overall? (Max. 10 points)

# **Project Description and Information Sheet**

Contractor shall provide information about its six (6) most recently completed public works projects within the last ten (10) years. Names and references must be current and verifiable. Use a separate sheet of paper for each project and provide all of the following information:

Project Name:
Location:
Gross Area (SF):
Project Delivery Method:
Owner:
Owner Contact (name, current email, and current phone number):
Architect or Engineer:
Architect or Engineer Contact (company name, contact and current email and phone number):
Construction Manager:
Construction Manager Contact (company name, contact and current email and phone number):
Description of Project, Scope of Work Performed:
LEED Certification Level (if any):
Original Construction Contract Amount:
Total Value of Construction (including change orders):
Original Scheduled Completion Date:
Time Extensions Granted (number of days):
Actual Date of Completion:

#### PART V. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Financial prequalification may be established by determining capacity to perform the Library/Learning Resource Center Project contract in the following manner:

- a. Working capital is determined from the most recent balance sheet submitted, by subtracting the current liabilities from current assets.
- b. Available lines of credit or other credit facilities are then added to the working capital, and then the sum is multiplied by ten (10).
- c. Uncompleted work on current contracts, which have been awarded to your organization (backlog), will be subtracted from the amount determined in paragraph "b" above.
- d. Any positive number resulting from paragraph "c" above would be the maximum Solano Community College District contract that can be awarded to the Submitting organization.

Should your organization not qualify on the basis of the above calculation, the Solano Community College District may consider other alternative information you can provide that, in its sole judgment, indicates clearly your ability to meet the financial requirements of the anticipated Solano Community College District contract. This information must include the required data described below, and sufficient supplemental analysis and description as needed to clearly present your position. This information must be submitted with your Request for Prequalification. It is your responsibility to make the above-described calculation and determine if additional information will be required to demonstrate your ability to perform the project.

Information you must submit includes:

- Full set of financial statements for your most recent three (3) complete fiscal years, accompanied by either an audit or review report by an independent Certified Public Accountant. *Compiled or internally prepared financial statements will not be accepted.* Statements, which are older than six (6) months, must be supplemented by internally financial statements, which update the information to no more than six (6) months from the date of submission of the Request for Prequalification. Such statements must be prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, including all required information disclosures.
- Letter from a financial institution in support of available lines of credit or other facilities, if you wish them to be considered in prequalification. See attached "General Statement of Bank Credit" for sample language; Attachment - 1.
- 3. Schedule indicating contracts, which have been awarded to you, and reconciling the original award, any amendments, completed portion and uncompleted portion of such contracts. This is your backlog of work awarded but not yet complete.

#### [CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE]

# PART VI. REQUIRED ATTACHMENTS

Name of Organization (Name must correspond exactly with Contractor's License)

#### Solano Community College District 4000 Suisun Valley Road Fairfield, CA 94534

The following documents, Attachments 1 through 8, are to be submitted as part of the Request for Prequalification. Failure to provide all these attachments will be cause for disqualification for this project.

- Attachment 1 General Statement of Bank Credit
- Attachment 2 Certificate of Accountant
- Attachment 3 Notarized Statement from Bonding Company
- Attachment 4 Notarized Statement from Worker's Compensation Insurance Carrier
- Attachment 5 Current Copy of Organization's California Contractor's License(s)
- Attachment 6 Certification declaring that the applying organization has not has a surety company finish work on any project within the last five (5) years.
- Attachment 7 Certification declaring that the applying Organization, in the last five (5) years has not been found by a judge, arbitrator, jury, or a nolo contendere plea to have submitted a false or fraudulent claim to a public agency
- Attachment 8 Certification declaring that the applying Organization has not been disqualified, removed, or otherwise prevented from bidding on, or completing a federal, state, or local government project because of violations of law or a safety regulation, pursuant to Public Contract Code section 10162

# **BANK CREDIT STATEMENT**

\_\_\_\_\_(Date)

Bank Reference # ------

Gentlemen:

In connection with the pre-qualification of \_

(Name of General Contractor)

Under Section 10160 et seq. of the Public Contract Code to perform contracts with the City, we hereby declare that said General Contractor has been extended a line of credit in a total amount not exceeding \$\_\_\_\_\_\_, and that such credit will not be withdrawn or reduced without notice to the Solano Community College District.

This letter is signed with the understanding that it is a document to be used by the Solano Community College District only for the purpose of determining the financial resources of said General Contractor available for use in performing work under contracts, which may be awarded by the Solano Community College District during the term of its prequalification.

This General Statement of Bank Credit supersedes and replaces any General Statement of Bank Credit from the same Bank, which may have been filed with the current General Contractor's Statement of Experience and Financial Condition and will EXPIRE with the Annual Contractor's Statement of Experience and Financial Condition for which the line of credit was issued.

----- (Name of Bank)

------ (Address)

\_\_\_\_\_

By ----- (Signature of Bank Representative)

----- (printed name and Title)

------ (phone)

**PLEASE NOTE:** The above form is optional and may be used to augment your Working Capital or <u>help</u> your firm establish a rating <u>when completed</u> by your bank; if they prefer, one with the <u>same provisions</u> maybe issued on the bank's own letterhead.

# Certificate of Accountant

# Exchange this page for a certification declaring that the financial statements included in this Prequalification Submittal set forth fairly the financial condition of the applying organization.

# Sample Declaration

I (We) have examined the financial statements of	
	Name of Organization)
as of My examination	ion was made in accordance with generally
accepted auditing standards and accordingly included st	ich tests of the accounting records and such
other auditing procedures as we considered necessary in t	
other additing procedures as we considered necessary in t	ne circumstances.
In my (our) opinion, the accompanying financial statement	s included in this Pregualification Submittal. set
forth fairly the financial condition of	
(Name of Organiz	ation)
as of in conformity with	generally accepted accounting principles
applied on a basis consistent with that of the proceeding ye	əər.
	License No. :
(Accountant's Signature)	
( ····· ···· ··· ··· ··· · ··· ··· ···	
(Name of Accounting Firm's)	—
(italité él xédéantalig i inité)	
(Address of Firm)	-
	-

NOTE TO ACCOUNTANT:

The above Certificate of Accountant <u>must not</u> be made by any individual who is a member or who is in the regular employment of the individual, partnership, corporation, or joint venture submitting this Request for Prequalification.

Certification Declaring Applying Organization Has Not Had Surety Finish Work on any Project within last Five Years

Exchange this page for a certification declaring that the applying organization has not had a surety company finish work on any project within the last five (5) years.

# Sample Declaration

I, \_\_\_\_\_authorized agent of \_\_\_\_

(Name of Organization) hereby certify, under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, that the organization named above has not had a surety company finish work on any project within the last five (5) years.

Signed:\_\_\_\_\_ Dated:\_\_\_\_\_

False or Fraudulent Claim

Exchange this page for a certification declaring that the applying organization in the last five (5) years has not been found, by a judge, arbitrator, jury, or nolo contendere plea, to have submitted a false or fraudulent claim to a public agency.

# Sample Declaration

I, \_\_\_\_\_authorized agent of \_\_\_\_\_

(Name of Organization)

hereby certify, under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct. In the last five (5) years, the organization named above, any affiliate, parent or subsidiary company, has not been found by a judge, arbitrator, jury, or nolo contendere plea, to have submitted a false or fraudulent claim to a public agency.

Signed:\_\_\_\_\_ Dated:\_\_\_\_\_

Disqualification or Removal

Exchange this page for a certification declaring that the applying organization has not been disqualified, removed, or otherwise prevented from bidding on, or completing a federal, state, or local government project because of violations of law or a safety regulation, pursuant to State of California, Public Contract Code, Section 10162.

# Sample Declaration

I, \_\_\_\_\_authorized agent of \_\_\_\_

(Name of Organization) hereby certify, under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, that the organization named above has not been disqualified, removed, or otherwise prevented from bidding on, or completing a federal, state or local government project because of violations of law or a safety regulation, pursuant to State of California, Public Contract Code, Section 10162.

Signed:	Dated:
	Batoal

# PREQUALIFICATION EVALUATION FORMS (FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES)



# Prequalification of General Contractors Contractor Evaluation Form Solano Community College District

Library/Learning Resource Center Project (Building 100 Replacement)

#### **CONTRACTOR INFORMATION**

Firm Name: Contact Person: Address: Phone:

PREQUALIFICA	TION EVALUATION	<b>Evaluation</b>
PART I	COMPANY CONTACT INFORMATION, DECLARATION and AFFIDAVIT	Pass/Fail
PART II	ESSENTIAL REQUIREMENTS FOR PREQUALIFICATION	Pass/Fail
PART III	ORGANIZATION HISTORY, ORGANIZATION PERFORMANCE, COMPLIANCE WITH CIVIL AND CRIMINAL LAWS	Pass/Fail
	PART III Sections A through F Pass/Fail	
	PART III Sections G through H Pass/Fail	
PART IV	ORGANIZATION'S EXPERIENCE AND RECENT CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS COMPLETED	Pass/Fail
PART V	FINANCIAL STABILITY	Pass/Fail
PART VI	REQUIRED ATTACHMENTS	Pass/Fail

# PREQUALIFICATION DETERMINATION

Pass/Fail



## Prequalification of General Contractors Contractor Evaluation Form Solano Community College District

Library/Learning Resource Center Project (Building 100 Replacement)

**Contractor: Reviewed by: Review Date:** Pass/Fail PART I COMPANY CONTACT INFORMATION, DECLARATION and AFFIDAVIT Company contact information and Affidavit completed and signed Rating: Pass/Fail Yes - OK to proceed No - Disqualified Acknowledgement of Addendum(s) Rating: Pass/Fail Yes - OK to proceed No - Disqualified PART II **ESSENTIAL REQUIREMENTS FOR QUALIFICATION** 1-6 Except as otherwise provided, Contractor will be immediately disqualified if the answer to any of questions 1 through 6 is "No." Rating: Pass/Fail List Questions Answered "NO" : 7-12 Contractor will be immediately disqualified if the answer to any of questions 7 through 12 is "Yes." Rating: Pass/Fail List Questions Answered "YES" : 13-14 Except as otherwise provided, Contractor will be immediately disqualified if the answer to any of questions 13 and 14 is "No." Rating: Pass/Fail List Questions Answered "NO" : Evaluation of Part II (Questions 1 through 14) Rating: Pass/Fail



Contractor: Reviewed by: Review Date:			
<u>PART III</u>	ORGANIZATION HISTORY, ORGANIZATION PERFORMANCE, COMPLIANCE WITH CIVIL AND CRIMINAL LAWS		
	Sections B through F		
6	How many years has your organization been in business in California as a con under your present business name and license number?	ntractor	Points
	less than 3 years =	0 Points	
	3 years =	2 Points	
	4 years =	3 Points	
	5 years =	4 Points	
	6 years or more =	5 Points	
11	Has any CSLB license held by your firm or its Responsible Managing Employe		Points
	Yes =	0 Points	
	No =	5 Points	
			<b>D</b> : (
12	At any time in the last five years, has your firm been assessed and paid liquid		Points
	0-1 Projects with LD's = 2 Projects with LD's =	5 Points	
	2 Projects with LD's = Any other answer =	3 Points 0 Points	
13	In the last five years has your firm, or any firm with which any of your compar officers or partners was associated, been debarred, disqualified, removed or of		
	• • • • •		
	prevented from bidding on, or completing, any government agency or public y	Norks	Points
	prevented from bidding on, or completing, any government agency or public v Yes		Points
		0 Points 5 Points	Points
	Yes =	0 Points	Points
14	Yes = No =	0 Points 5 Points	Points
14	Yes     =       No     =       In the last five years, has your firm been denied an award of a public works pr	0 Points 5 Points	Points
14	Yes       =         No       =         In the last five years, has your firm been denied an award of a public works pr contract based on a finding by a public agency that your company was not a not public agency that your company was not pub	0 Points 5 Points	
14	Yes       =         No       =         In the last five years, has your firm been denied an award of a public works pr contract based on a finding by a public agency that your company was not a bidder?	0 Points 5 Points oject responsible	
14	Yes       =         No       =         In the last five years, has your firm been denied an award of a public works pr contract based on a finding by a public agency that your company was not a public bidder?         Yes       =	0 Points 5 Points oject responsible 0 Points	
14	Yes       =         No       =         In the last five years, has your firm been denied an award of a public works pr contract based on a finding by a public agency that your company was not a bidder?	0 Points 5 Points oject responsible	
	Yes       =         No       =         In the last five years, has your firm been denied an award of a public works pr contract based on a finding by a public agency that your company was not a public bidder?         Yes       =	0 Points 5 Points oject responsible 0 Points	
14 15	Yes       =         No       =         In the last five years, has your firm been denied an award of a public works pr contract based on a finding by a public agency that your company was not a r bidder?         Yes       =         No       =         No       =	0 Points 5 Points oject responsible 0 Points	
	Yes       =         No       =         In the last five years, has your firm been denied an award of a public works pr contract based on a finding by a public agency that your company was not a public bidder?         Yes       =	0 Points 5 Points oject responsible 0 Points	Points
	Yes       =         No       =         In the last five years, has your firm been denied an award of a public works pr contract based on a finding by a public agency that your company was not a r bidder?         Yes       =         No       =         In the past five years, has any claim against your firm concerning your firm's work on a construction project, been filed in court or arbitration?	0 Points 5 Points oject responsible 0 Points 5 Points	Points
	Yes       =         No       =         In the last five years, has your firm been denied an award of a public works procontract based on a finding by a public agency that your company was not a rebidder?         Yes       =         No       =         In the past five years, has any claim against your firm concerning your firm's work on a construction project, been filed in court or arbitration?         No or Yes indicating 1 instance       =	0 Points 5 Points oject responsible 0 Points 5 Points 5 Points	Points Points Points
	Yes       =         No       =         In the last five years, has your firm been denied an award of a public works pr contract based on a finding by a public agency that your company was not a r bidder?         Yes       =         No       =         In the past five years, has any claim against your firm concerning your firm's work on a construction project, been filed in court or arbitration?	0 Points 5 Points oject responsible 0 Points 5 Points	Points



**Pregualification of General Contractors** 

**Contractor Evaluation Form** 

Solano Community College District

Library/Learning Resource Center Project (Building 100 Replacement)

No or Yes indicating 1 instance

Yes indicating 2, 3 or 4 such instances

Yes indicating more than 5 such instances

In the past five years, has your firm made any claim against a project owner concerning work on a project or payment for a contract, and filed that claim in court or arbitration

ration?	 Points
5 Points	
3 Points	

0 Points

=

=

=

17 At any time during the past five years, has any surety company made any payments on your firm's behalf as a result of a default, to satisfy any claims made against a performance or payment bond issued on your firm's behalf in connection with a construction project, either public or private? Points 5 Points No = Yes indicating no more than 1-2 such claims 3 Points = Subtract 5 point for Yes if more than 2 such claims = -5 Points In the last five years, has any insurance carrier, for any form of insurance, refused to 18 renew the insurance policy for your firm? Points 5 Points No or Yes indicating 1 such instance = Yes indicating 2 such instances = 3 Points Yes indicating more than 2 such instances = 0 Points Has your firm, or any of its owners, officers, or partners ever been found liable in a civil 19 suit, or found guilty in a criminal action, for making any false claim or material misrepresentation to any public agency or entity? Points Yes = -5 Points No = 5 Points 20 Has your firm, or any of its owners, officers or partners ever been convicted of a crime involving any federal, state, or local law related to construction? Points -5 Points Yes = No = 5 Points 21 Has your firm or any of its owners, officers or partners ever been convicted of a federal or state crime of fraud, theft, or any other act of dishonesty? Points -5 Points Yes = No = 5 Points

23

If your firm was required to pay a premium of more than one per cent for a performance and payment bond on any project(s) on which your firm worked at any time during the last three years, state the percentage that your firm was required to pay. Points If the rate is no more than 1% 5 Points If the rate was no higher than 1.10% = 3 Points 0 Points Any other answer = 25 During the last five years, has your firm ever been denied bond credit by a surety company, or has there ever been a period of time when your firm had no surety bond in place during a public construction project when one was required? Points

> Total Points for PART III (Sections B-F): 0 Points

=

=

0 Points

5 Points

Evaluation of Part III (Sections B-F)

Yes

No

Pass/Fail

For qualification, a passing score of 54 out of 70 points is needed on this portion of the questionnaire



Contractor: Reviewed by: Review Date:	0			
<u>PART III</u>	ORGANIZATION HISTORY, ORGANIZATION PERFORMAN COMPLIANCE WITH CIVIL AND CRIMINAL LAWS	ICE,		
	Sections G through H			
26	Has CAL OSHA cited and assessed penalties against your firm for an "willful" or "repeat" violations of its safety or health regulations in th			Points
		ie past ii		Points
	No or Yes indicating 1 such instance	=	5 Points	
	Yes indicating 2, 3 or 4 such instances	=	3 Points	
	Yes indicating more than 4 such instances	=	-5 Points	
27	Has the Federal Occupational Safety and Health Administration cited penalties against your firm in the past five years?	l and ass	essed	Points
	No or Yes indicating 1 such instance	=	5 Points	
	Yes indicating 2, 3 or 4 such instances	=	3 Points	
	Yes indicating more than 4 such instances	=	-5 Points	
28	Has the EPA or any Air Quality Management District or any Regional Control Board cited and assessed penalties against either your firm	or the ov		
28		or the ov		Points
28 29	Control Board cited and assessed penalties against either your firm of project on which your firm was the contractor, in the past five years? No or Yes indicating 1 such instance Yes indicating 2, 3 or 4 such instances Yes indicating more than 4 such instances How often do you require documented safety meetings to be held for	or the ov = = = =	5 Points 3 Points 0 Points	
	Control Board cited and assessed penalties against either your firm of project on which your firm was the contractor, in the past five years? No or Yes indicating 1 such instance Yes indicating 2, 3 or 4 such instances Yes indicating more than 4 such instances How often do you require documented safety meetings to be held for employees and field supervisors during the course of a project?	or the ov = = = =	5 Points 3 Points 0 Points ction	Points
	Control Board cited and assessed penalties against either your firm of project on which your firm was the contractor, in the past five years? No or Yes indicating 1 such instance Yes indicating 2, 3 or 4 such instances Yes indicating more than 4 such instances How often do you require documented safety meetings to be held for	or the ow = = = r constru	5 Points 3 Points 0 Points	
	Control Board cited and assessed penalties against either your firm of project on which your firm was the contractor, in the past five years? No or Yes indicating 1 such instance Yes indicating 2, 3 or 4 such instances Yes indicating more than 4 such instances How often do you require documented safety meetings to be held for employees and field supervisors during the course of a project? Once each week or more often Any other answer List your firm's Experience Modification Rate (EMR) (California worke insurance) for each of the past three premium years:	or the ov = = = r constru = = ers' com	5 Points 3 Points 0 Points ction 3 Points 0 Points pensation	Points
29	Control Board cited and assessed penalties against either your firm of project on which your firm was the contractor, in the past five years? No or Yes indicating 1 such instance Yes indicating 2, 3 or 4 such instances Yes indicating more than 4 such instances How often do you require documented safety meetings to be held for employees and field supervisors during the course of a project? Once each week or more often Any other answer List your firm's Experience Modification Rate (EMR) (California worke insurance) for each of the past three premium years: Three year average EMR of .95 or less	or the ov = = = r constru = = ers' com	7 Points 3 Points 0 Points ction 3 Points 0 Points 0 Points pensation 5 Points	Points
29	Control Board cited and assessed penalties against either your firm of project on which your firm was the contractor, in the past five years? No or Yes indicating 1 such instance Yes indicating 2, 3 or 4 such instances Yes indicating more than 4 such instances How often do you require documented safety meetings to be held for employees and field supervisors during the course of a project? Once each week or more often Any other answer List your firm's Experience Modification Rate (EMR) (California worke insurance) for each of the past three premium years: Three year average EMR of .95 or less Three year average of EMR of more than .95 but no more than 1.00	or the ov = = = r constru = = ers' com = = =	vner of a 5 Points 3 Points 0 Points ction 3 Points 0 Points 9 Points pensation 5 Points 3 Points	Points
29 30	Control Board cited and assessed penalties against either your firm of project on which your firm was the contractor, in the past five years? No or Yes indicating 1 such instance Yes indicating 2, 3 or 4 such instances Yes indicating more than 4 such instances How often do you require documented safety meetings to be held for employees and field supervisors during the course of a project? Once each week or more often Any other answer List your firm's Experience Modification Rate (EMR) (California worke insurance) for each of the past three premium years: Three year average EMR of .95 or less	or the ov = = = r constru = = ers' com	7 Points 3 Points 0 Points ction 3 Points 0 Points 0 Points pensation 5 Points	Points
29	Control Board cited and assessed penalties against either your firm of project on which your firm was the contractor, in the past five years? No or Yes indicating 1 such instance Yes indicating 2, 3 or 4 such instances Yes indicating more than 4 such instances How often do you require documented safety meetings to be held for employees and field supervisors during the course of a project? Once each week or more often Any other answer List your firm's Experience Modification Rate (EMR) (California worke insurance) for each of the past three premium years: Three year average EMR of .95 or less Three year average of EMR of more than .95 but no more than 1.00 Three year average of EMR 1.00	or the ov = = = r constru = = ers' com = = = = =	5 Points 3 Points 0 Points ction 3 Points 0 Points 0 Points pensation 5 Points 3 Points 0 Points 0 Points	Points
29 30	Control Board cited and assessed penalties against either your firm of project on which your firm was the contractor, in the past five years? No or Yes indicating 1 such instance Yes indicating 2, 3 or 4 such instances Yes indicating more than 4 such instances How often do you require documented safety meetings to be held for employees and field supervisors during the course of a project? Once each week or more often Any other answer List your firm's Experience Modification Rate (EMR) (California worke insurance) for each of the past three premium years: Three year average EMR of .95 or less Three year average of EMR of more than .95 but no more than 1.00	or the ov 	5 Points         3 Points         0 Points         ction         3 Points         0 Points         9 Points	Points
29 30	Control Board cited and assessed penalties against either your firm of project on which your firm was the contractor, in the past five years? No or Yes indicating 1 such instance Yes indicating 2, 3 or 4 such instances Yes indicating more than 4 such instances How often do you require documented safety meetings to be held for employees and field supervisors during the course of a project? Once each week or more often Any other answer List your firm's Experience Modification Rate (EMR) (California worke insurance) for each of the past three premium years: Three year average EMR of .95 or less Three year average of EMR of more than .95 but no more than 1.00 Three year average of EMR 1.00	or the ov 	vner of a 5 Points 3 Points 0 Points ction 3 Points 0 Points 0 Points pensation 5 Points 3 Points 0 Points 0 Points mployees urance? 5 Points	Points
29 30	Control Board cited and assessed penalties against either your firm of project on which your firm was the contractor, in the past five years? No or Yes indicating 1 such instance Yes indicating 2, 3 or 4 such instances Yes indicating more than 4 such instances How often do you require documented safety meetings to be held for employees and field supervisors during the course of a project? Once each week or more often Any other answer List your firm's Experience Modification Rate (EMR) (California worke insurance) for each of the past three premium years: Three year average EMR of .95 or less Three year average of EMR of more than .95 but no more than 1.00 Three year average of EMR 1.00	or the ov 	xner of a 5 Points 3 Points 0 Points ction 3 Points 0 Points 9 Points 5 Points 3 Points 0 Points 0 Points 1 Points	Points
29 30	Control Board cited and assessed penalties against either your firm of project on which your firm was the contractor, in the past five years? No or Yes indicating 1 such instance Yes indicating 2, 3 or 4 such instances Yes indicating more than 4 such instances How often do you require documented safety meetings to be held for employees and field supervisors during the course of a project? Once each week or more often Any other answer List your firm's Experience Modification Rate (EMR) (California worke insurance) for each of the past three premium years: Three year average EMR of .95 or less Three year average of EMR of more than .95 but no more than 1.00 Three year average of EMR 1.00 Within the last five years, has there ever been a period when your firm but was without workers' compensation insurance or state-approved No or Yes indicating 1 such instance	or the ov	vner of a 5 Points 3 Points 0 Points ction 3 Points 0 Points 0 Points pensation 5 Points 0 Points	Points
29 30 31	Control Board cited and assessed penalties against either your firm of project on which your firm was the contractor, in the past five years? No or Yes indicating 1 such instance Yes indicating 2, 3 or 4 such instances Yes indicating more than 4 such instances How often do you require documented safety meetings to be held for employees and field supervisors during the course of a project? Once each week or more often Any other answer List your firm's Experience Modification Rate (EMR) (California worke insurance) for each of the past three premium years: Three year average EMR of .95 or less Three year average of EMR of more than .95 but no more than 1.00 Three year average of EMR 1.00 Within the last five years, has there ever been a period when your firr but was without workers' compensation insurance or state-approved No or Yes indicating 1 such instance Any other answer Has there been more than one occasion during the last five years on	or the ov	vner of a 5 Points 3 Points 0 Points ction 3 Points 0 Points 0 Points pensation 5 Points 0 Points	Points
29 30 31	Control Board cited and assessed penalties against either your firm of project on which your firm was the contractor, in the past five years? No or Yes indicating 1 such instance Yes indicating 2, 3 or 4 such instances Yes indicating more than 4 such instances How often do you require documented safety meetings to be held for employees and field supervisors during the course of a project? Once each week or more often Any other answer List your firm's Experience Modification Rate (EMR) (California worke insurance) for each of the past three premium years: Three year average EMR of .95 or less Three year average of EMR of more than .95 but no more than 1.00 Three year average of EMR 1.00 Within the last five years, has there ever been a period when your firr but was without workers' compensation insurance or state-approved No or Yes indicating 1 such instance Any other answer Has there been more than one occasion during the last five years on was required to pay either back wages or penalties for your own firm with the state's prevailing wage laws? No or Yes indicating no more than 4 such instances	or the ov	vner of a 5 Points 3 Points 0 Points ction 3 Points 0 Points 0 Points pensation 5 Points 0 Points	Points
29 30 31	Control Board cited and assessed penalties against either your firm of project on which your firm was the contractor, in the past five years? No or Yes indicating 1 such instance Yes indicating 2, 3 or 4 such instances Yes indicating more than 4 such instances Yes indicating more than 4 such instances How often do you require documented safety meetings to be held for employees and field supervisors during the course of a project? Once each week or more often Any other answer List your firm's Experience Modification Rate (EMR) (California worke insurance) for each of the past three premium years: Three year average EMR of .95 or less Three year average of EMR of more than .95 but no more than 1.00 Three year average of EMR 1.00 Within the last five years, has there ever been a period when your firr but was without workers' compensation insurance or state-approved No or Yes indicating 1 such instance Any other answer Has there been more than one occasion during the last five years on was required to pay either back wages or penalties for your own firm with the state's prevailing wage laws?	or the ov	xner of a 5 Points 3 Points 0 Points ction 3 Points 0 Points 0 Points pensation 5 Points 0 Point	Points



During the last five years, has there been more than one occasion on which your own 33 firm has been penalized or required to pay back wages for failure to comply with the federal Davis-Bacon prevailing wage requirements? Points No or Yes indicating no more than 4 such instance 5 Points = Yes indicating either 5 or 6 such instances = 3 Points Yes indicating more than 6 such instances = 0 Points 34 Provide the name, address and telephone number of the apprenticeship program sponsor(s) (approved by the California Division of Apprenticeship Standards) that will provide apprentices to your company for use on any public work project for which you are awarded a contract by the Authority. Points At least one approved apprenticeship program is listed 5 Points = Any other answer 0 Points = 36 At any time during the last five years, has your firm been found to have violated any provision of California apprenticeship laws or regulations, or the laws pertaining to use of apprentices on government construction projects? Points No or Yes indicating no more than 4 such instance 5 Points = Yes indicating either 5 or 6 such instances = 3 Points Yes indicating more than 6 such instances = 0 Points Total Points for PART III (Sections G-H) 0 Points

Evaluation of Part III (Sections G-H) Pass/Fail

For qualification, a passing score of 34 points is needed on this portion of the questionnaire



Contractor:		
Reviewed by:		
Review Date:		
PART IV	ORGANIZATION'S EXPERIENCE AND RECENT	
	PROJECTS COMPLETED	Pass/Fail
1	Information on six (6) projects included within last ten (10) years	Rating:
	Yes - OK to proceed (Pass)	
	No - Disqualified (Fail)	
1.A	Three (3) building projects with a completed construction value of at	
	least \$30 million included	Rating:
	Yes - OK to proceed (Pass)	·
	No - Disqualified (Fail)	
	One (4) multiplication main at in OA with a complete dependence tion welfer	
1.B	One (1) public works project in CA with a completed construction value of at least \$30 million included	Rating:
	Yes - OK to proceed (Pass)	Raung.
	No - Disqualified (Fail)	
	··· = (·/	
		<b>D</b> // <b>-</b>
2.A	Interview of Project "A"	Rating: Pass
2.B	Interview of Project "B"	Rating: <b>Pass</b>

Evaluation of Part IV

Pass/Fail



**Prequalification of General Contractors** 

**Contractor Evaluation Form** 

Solano Community College District

Library/Learning Resource Center Project (Building 100 Replacement)

# **Project A Interview**

roject Name: wner:	e: Date: Spoke to:	
1	Are there any outstanding stop notices, liens, or claims by the contractor that are	
•	currently unresolved on contracts for which notices of completion were recorded more	
	than 120 days ago?	Points
	1 outstanding stop notice, lien, or claim = -1 Points	
	2 outstanding stop notices, liens, or claims = -2 Points	
	3 outstanding stop notices, liens, or claims = -3 Points	
	4 outstanding stop notices, liens, or claims = -4 Points	
	5 outstanding stop notices, liens, or claims = -5 Points	
2	On a scale of 1-10, with 10 being the best, did the contractor provide adequate personnel?	Points
3	On a scale of 1-10, with 10 being the best, did the contractor provide adequate supervision?	Points
4	On a scale of 1-10, with 10 being the best, was there adequate equipment provided on the job?	Points
5	On a scale of 1-10, with 10 being the best, was the contractor timely in providing reports and other paperwork, including change order paperwork and scheduling updates?	Points
6	On a scale of 1-10, with 10 being the best, did the contractor adhere to the project schedule that your agency or business approved?	Points
7	Was the project completed on time?	Points
	Yes = 10 Points	
	If "No", on a scale of 1-10, with 10 being the least responsible, how	
	responsible was the contractor for the delay? = 1-9 Points	
8	On a scale of 1-10, with 10 being the best, rate the contractor on the timely submission of	
0	Change Order requests.	Points
9	On a scale of 1-10, with 10 being the best, rate the contractor on how well the contractor	
	performed the work after a change order was issued, and how well the contractor	
	integrated the change order work into the existing work.	Points
40	On a coole of 4.40, with 40 hours the bast rate how the contractor performed in the error	
10	On a scale of 1-10, with 10 being the best, rate how the contractor performed in the area of Operation & Maintenance manuals, as-built drawings, providing required training and	
	resolving warranty items?	Points
	resolving warranty items i	1 01113
11	On a scale of 1-10, with 10 being the lowest amount, rate the contractor on whether there were an unusually high number of claims, given the nature of the project.	
12	On a scale of 1-10, with 10 being the timeliest, did the contractor make timely payments to either subcontractors or suppliers? (If the person being interviewed knows of no such difficulties, the score on this question should be "10.")	
13	On a scale of 1-10, with 10 being the best, how would you rate the quality of the work overall?	Points
	Total Points Project Interview A	Points
	Evaluation of Part IV (Project A)	Pass/Fail

For qualification passing score of 75 points or more is required



**Prequalification of General Contractors** 

**Contractor Evaluation Form** 

Solano Community College District

Library/Learning Resource Center Project (Building 100 Replacement)

## **Project B Interview**

ject Name: ner:	Date: Spoke to:	
1	Are there any outstanding stop notices, liens, or claims by the contractor that are	
-	currently unresolved on contracts for which notices of completion were recorded more	
	than 120 days ago?	Points
	1 outstanding stop notice, lien, or claim = -1 Points	
	2 outstanding stop notices, liens, or claims = -2 Points	
	3 outstanding stop notices, liens, or claims = -3 Points	
	4 outstanding stop notices, liens, or claims = -4 Points	
	5 outstanding stop notices, liens, or claims = -5 Points	
2	On a scale of 1-10, with 10 being the best, did the contractor provide adequate personnel?	Points
3	On a scale of 1-10, with 10 being the best, did the contractor provide adequate supervision?	Points
4	On a scale of 1-10, with 10 being the best, was there adequate equipment provided on the job?	Points
5		
	On a scale of 1-10, with 10 being the best, was the contractor timely in providing reports	
	and other paperwork, including change order paperwork and scheduling updates?	Points
6	On a scale of 1-10, with 10 being the best, did the contractor adhere to the project	
•	schedule that your agency or business approved?	Points
7	Was the project completed on time?	Points
	Yes = 10 Points	
	If "No", on a scale of 1-10, with 10 being the least responsible, how	
	responsible was the contractor for the delay? = 1-9 Points	
8	On a scale of 1-10, with 10 being the best, rate the contractor on the timely submission of	
	Change Order requests.	Points
9	On a scale of 1-10, with 10 being the best, rate the contractor on how well the contractor	
9	performed the work after a change order was issued, and how well the contractor	
	integrated the change order work into the existing work.	Points
10	On a scale of 1-10, with 10 being the best, rate how the contractor performed in the area	
	of Operation & Maintenance manuals, as-built drawings, providing required training and	
	resolving warranty items?	Points
11	On a scale of 1-10, with 10 being the lowest amount, rate the contractor on whether there	
11	were an unusually high number of claims, given the nature of the project.	Points
	were an anasaany mgn namber of claims, given the nature of the project.	1 01113
12	On a scale of 1-10, with 10 being the timeliest, did the contractor make timely payments to	
	either subcontractors or suppliers? (If the person being interviewed knows of no such	
	difficulties, the score on this question should be "10.")	Points
13	On a scale of 1-10, with 10 being the best, how would you rate the quality of the work	
	overall?	Points
	Total Points Project Interview B	: Points

For qualification passing score of 75 points or more is required



# Prequalification of General Contractors Contractor Evaluation Form Solano Community College District

Library/Learning Resource Center Project (Building 100 Replacement)

Contractor:

Reviewed by:

**Review Date:** 

#### PART V FINANCIAL STABILITY

Company qualifies per the Financial Equation listed in Part V
Yes
No

**Evaluation of Part V** 

#### PART VI REQUIRED ATTACHMENTS

 Required attachments included in Prequalification Submittal
 Rating: Pass/Fail

 Yes
 No

**Evaluation of Part VI** 

Pass/Fail

Rating: Pass/Fail

Pass/Fail