ADDENDUM TO RFP DOCUMENTS

ADDENDUM #02

Project:
Solano Community College District
Request for Qualifications (RFQ#19-008)
Construction Management Services Pool

Date: March 1, 2019

Addendum # 02 – The following clarifications are provided based on questions received and must be added/considered when completing your submittal: Acknowledgement of receipt of this ADDENDUM is required in the proposal’s cover letter of introduction. Please clearly note the addendum date and number.

ITEM:

ITEM NO. 1 – Answers to Submitted Questions

QUESTION – Does the following apply to the CM RFQ currently out?
“Disabled Veteran Business Enterprises. Pursuant to Education Code section 71028 and Public Contract Code section 10115, the District may have a participation goal for disabled veteran business enterprises (DVBEs) of at least three percent (3%) per year of funds expended each year by the District on projects that use funds California Community College Chancellor’s Office. In accordance therewith, the Consultant must submit, upon request by the District, appropriate documentation to the District identifying the good faith efforts the Consultant has taken to solicit DVBE participation in conjunction with this Agreement, if applicable. [INCLUDE IF USING FUNDS FROM CHANCELLOR’S OFFICE, OTHERWISE DELETE SECTION]”

ANSWER – It is the District’s goal to meet the three percent (3%) participation for disabled veteran business enterprises (DVBEs), however this can be achieved by applying the overall dollar amount of contracts awarded per year for labor, services, material or equipment in the evaluation (construction management services are considered as “services”). Respondents to this RFQ will not be required to be certified as a DVBE, but may be expected to assist the District in achieving the three percent (3%) DVBE participation goal.

QUESTION – Would you consider expanding the page limit to either 30 – 40 pages for the RFQ? We want to ensure that we are responsive to your RFQ and a 20 page limit may not allow us to do so.

ANSWER – Yes. Submittals shall be limited to a maximum of 30 numbered pages (double
sided counts as two pages) or printed material excluding tabs, table of contents and covers. The appendix is not part of the page count. All references to submittal page limits in Request for Qualifications document shall be revised to be a maximum of 30 pages.

**QUESTION** – Exhibit A, 2.7 – Could you expound on this? I would not have an issue with increased scope if the time frames stay the same.

**ANSWER** – Included in project specific Requests for Qualifications/Requests for Proposals will be a request for the firm’s fee schedule. If awarded, the fee schedule provided will become part of the Agreement. Should the District increase or add scope to a project requiring additional construction management services, requests for fee increases shall be based on the fee and cost terms of the original Agreement.

**QUESTION** – Exhibit A, 6.4 – Are you indicating the fee COULD go up if change orders are not due to CM issues?

**ANSWER** – The District’s expectation will be that each firm’s fee proposals will include the tracking, review, evaluation, negotiation and processing of all change orders.

**QUESTION** – Exhibit A and B have differing insurance requirements according to the size of the project. Can you define the cut off between the two? Cost or scope or duration or??

**ANSWER** – Yes, the District’s decision to execute either Exhibit A or Exhibit B can depend on project cost, construction manager’s scope and/or project duration. Included in project specific Requests for Qualifications/Requests for Proposals will be a sample copy of either Exhibit A or Exhibit B and will clearly identify the insurance requirements for that specific project.