IV. FUNCTION OF PERFORMANCE REVIEW:

- a. Fundamental to the faculty performance review process are the perspectives of management, students and peers, as each constituency is uniquely positioned to provide quality feedback to the teaching professional.
- b. To accomplish the above items, the following items are the goals for faculty performance reviews:
 - To encourage professional growth (including mastery of discipline and craft of teaching/counseling/student services /counseling/student services);
 - To establish strong collegial ties across disciplines and the College;
 - To improve student success;
 - To provide a meaningful review for the benefit of faculty and students.
- c. To better teaching/counseling/student services and learning, any criteria for a performance evaluation must be:
 - Objective;
 - Fair:
 - Standardized while being appropriate for the discipline;
 - Uniform.

V. COMPOSITION OF PERFORMANCE REVIEWS

Performance Reviews are informed by Peer Review, Student Evaluations, Administrative Review, and a Self-Evaluation. Article 4 of the CBA should be reviewed in full, in addition to the following items.

A. Function of Peer Review

- To create opportunities for critique to improve teaching/counseling/student services /counseling/student services and learning;
- To identify and share best practices for teaching/counseling/student services /counseling/student services and learning;
- To identify suggestions and/or opportunities for professional development.
- ADD: best practices for how to conduct a strong peer review.

Summary of Senate Input

Philosophy/approach

- Peer review approached as a consultation (not punitive), collegial, idea sharing
- Process conducted in a timely manner/schedules maintained
- Prompt communication regarding logistics/changes

Pre-review meeting

- ➤ Pre-review conversation (in current procedures for logistics/create a standardized form for guidance regarding goals/outcomes for the review)
 - o Goals of the class session being observed
 - o Request from reviewee for specific feedback
 - o Experimentation encouraged
 - o Copy of Syllabus for course observed
 - o Look at eCompanion and other ancillary tools used by the reviewee

Observation

- > Standard way of introducing reviewer to class
- ➤ Observe for a full teaching session (current procedure states, *observe for a minimum of 45 minutes*)
- ➤ Observe over multiple classes (maybe *upon request*)
- > Observations of teaching, counseling, etc.
- > Standardized rubric/evaluation method
- Reviewer talk with students (maybe *upon request*)

Feedback/discussion

- > Useful feedback, constructive criticism
- > Open and honest Dialogue/discussion about reviewer's observations
- Prompt feedback
- Flow and vibe of the classroom experience and student engagement
- ➤ Include a discussion of non-teaching responsibilities
- > Detailed discussion/analysis of what is done well
- ➤ Improvement (opportunities) areas are specific and measureable
- > Problem solving is responsibility of the review team
- ➤ Provide professional development recommendations (follow up/on-going)

Follow up/on-going

- ➤ Discussion about the craft of teaching (Feedback/discussion)
- ➤ Growth of teaching practices (Feedback/discussion)
- ➤ Sharing of ideas, mutual benefit (Feedback/discussion)
- > Reviewers serve as mentors

Training for Peer Reviewers