



Technical Review Meeting

Minutes

Date: September 6, 2022

Meeting Room: Zoom

Zoom Information

1:00 pm – 3:00 pm

<p>1. Call to Order</p>	<p>The regular Technical Review meeting was called to order at 1:10 pm on September 6th, 2022. Sarah Barsness presided. The meeting was held via Zoom due to the COVID 19 pandemic.</p>
<p>2. Roll Call</p>	

Committee Officials	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> David Williams.....	VP of Academic Affairs
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lisa Abbott	Curriculum Analyst
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Jessica Corbin.....	Meeting Secretary
Maria Santiago	
Commodore St. Germain	
Sandra Diehl	
Toni Coleman	
Tony Zitko	
Amanda Morrison	
Isabel Anderson	
John Perez	
James Word	

Committee Members	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Kevin Anderson.....	Dean
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sarah Barsness.....	Chairperson
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Douglas Green.....	Applied Technology & Business
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ashlie Lawson	Articulation Officer
<input type="checkbox"/> Andrew Wesley.....	Assessment Coordinator

<p>3. Approval of Minutes</p>	<p><i>Approval of Minutes from: May 10th, 2022</i></p>				
	Motion:	Sarah Barsness motioned to approved the minutes			
	Seconded by:	Ashlie Lawson			
	Comments/Discussion/Revisions:	Only voting members from last semester voted since they were the only ones there.			
	Final Resolution:	Yeses: 2	Noes: 0	Abstentions: 0	
Motion Passed:	Yes: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		No: <input type="checkbox"/>		

4. Agenda Approval

<i>Approval of the agenda will enact all matters listed under the Consent Items which are considered routine unless removed from the Consent Items by a Committee member.</i>						
Motion:	Douglas Green motioned to approve the agenda					
Seconded by:	Kevin Anderson					
Comments/Discussion/Revisions:						
Final Resolution:	Yeses:	4	Noes:	0	Abstentions:	0
Motion Passed:	Yes:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No:	<input type="checkbox"/>		

5. Consent Items

<i>Approval of the agenda will enact all matters listed under the Consent Items which are considered routine unless removed from the Consent Items by a Committee member.</i>		
6a. General		
6b. Workload/Class Max		
Course	Rationale:	Change

6. Comments from the Public

<i>Three minutes are allotted per person **</i>	
Name	Comments

7. Information Items

<i>No Action Required</i>	
There are no items in this section.	

8. Action Items

		N/A <input type="checkbox"/>
--	--	------------------------------

9. JOUR

Rationale for proposals a:						
Curriculum Review - Journalism						
Motion on a:	Sarah Barsness motioned to approve 9a 9b 9c with understanding of discussed issues being completed before Curriculum Committee meeting					N/A <input type="checkbox"/>
Seconded by:	Ashlie Lawson					
Comments/Discussion/Revisions:	Isabel Anderson walked through the eLumen revision course workflow to show a more compact journalism program that would be held per semester starting Fall 2024. Suggested adding a CTE/ADT for these courses. Looked through course description and SLOs to determine appropriate wording and discussed minimum qualifications. But need to meet with Andrew Wesley to discuss SLO requirements. Discussing the AA-T, there was discussion to remove JOUR 062 from TMC. Must work with Ashlie Lawson to make sure they have C-ID.					
Final Resolution:	Yeses:	4	Noes:	0	Abstentions:	0
Motion Passed:	Yes:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No:	<input type="checkbox"/>		

9a. Modified Courses

JOUR 001	Introduction to Reporting and Newswriting	
JOUR 011	Introduction to Mass Communication	
JOUR 060	News Production and Publication	
JOUR 061	News Production and Publication II	
JOUR 062	News Production, Publication, and Management	
Rationale:	<i>Curriculum Review</i>	
Articulate:	C-ID:	IGETC: Transferability:
Motion on Course(s):		
Seconded by:		
Comments/Discussion/Revisions:		
Final Resolution:	Yeses:	Noes: Abstentions:
Motion Passed:	Yes: <input type="checkbox"/>	No: <input type="checkbox"/>

9b. Inactivate Courses

JOUR 002	Introduction to Feature and Magazine Writing	
JOUR 050	Grammar and Style for Media Writers	
JOUR 059	News Media Laboratory	
JOUR 066	Publications Portfolio	
JOUR 075	Intermediate Newswriting and Reporting	
Rationale:	<i>Curriculum Review</i>	
Articulate:	C-ID:	IGETC: Transferability:
Motion on Course(s):		
Seconded by:		
Comments/Discussion/		

Revisions:						
Final Resolution:	Yeses:		Noes:		Abstentions:	
Motion Passed:	Yes: <input type="checkbox"/>			No: <input type="checkbox"/>		

**9c.
Inactivate
Programs**

Journalism AA						
Rationale:		<i>Curriculum Review</i>				
Articulate:		C-ID:		IGETC:		Transferability:
Motion on Course(s):						
Seconded by:						
Comments/Discussion/ Revisions:						
Final Resolution:	Yeses:		Noes:		Abstentions:	
Motion Passed:	Yes: <input type="checkbox"/>			No: <input type="checkbox"/>		

**9d.
Modify
Programs**

Journalism AA-T						
Rationale:		<i>Curriculum Review</i>				
Articulate:		C-ID:		IGETC:		Transferability:
Motion on Course(s):						
Seconded by:						
Comments/Discussion/ Revisions:						
Final Resolution:	Yeses:		Noes:		Abstentions:	
Motion Passed:	Yes: <input type="checkbox"/>			No: <input type="checkbox"/>		

- I. Report from Articulation Officer, Ashlie Lawson**
- II. Report from Assessment Coordinator, Andrew Wesley**
- III. Report from Curriculum Analyst, Lisa Abbott**
- IV. Report from VP of Academic Affairs, David Williams**
- V. Report from Curriculum Committee Chair, Sarah Barsness**
- VI. Other**

There are no items in this section.

There are no items in this section.

There are no items in this section.

Sending reminders to vote and make decisions that are best for the college and student-life.

There are no items in this section.

There are no items in this section.

VII. Open Discussion

There are no items in this section.

VIII. Adjournment

Sarah Barsness motioned to adjourn the meeting. Kevin Anderson seconded the motion. The meeting was ended at 2:34 pm.

ALL MEETINGS WILL BE HELD STARTING AT 1:00 PM ON ZOOM UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED

***Under the Bagley-Keene Act, a body does not have to listen to comments on matters that are not on the agenda or that were already considered in a public meeting where there was an opportunity to comment. Cal. Gov't Code § 11125.7(a).*

Under the Brown Act, the public is entitled to comment on any matter within the subject matter jurisdiction of the legislative body, as well as any agenda item. Cal. Gov't Code § 54954.3(a). See Galbiso v. Orosi Pub. Util. Dist., 167 Cal. App. 4th 1063, 1080, 84 Cal. Rptr. 3d 788 (2008). Under the Brown Act, the legislative body does not have to listen to comments on items that are not within its subject matter jurisdiction. 78 Ops. Cal. Att'y Gen. 224 (1995). And, as under the Bagley-Keene Act, a legislative body under the Brown Act does not have to listen to comments on items that were already considered in a public meeting where there was an opportunity to comment. Cal. Gov't Code § 54954.3(a).

An agency may limit public comment on items described in the agenda to the time when those items are being considered. Olson v. Hornbrook Cmty. Servs. Dist., 33 Cal. App. 5th 502, 528, 245 Cal. Rptr. 3d 236 (March 26, 2019).

In addition, under both Acts, the body may adopt reasonable regulations to ensure that the above provisions are carried out, including but not limited to regulations limiting the total amount of time allocated to each individual speaker for public testimony or comment on particular issues. Cal. Gov't Code §§ 11125.7(b) (Bagley-Keene Act); 54954.3(b) (Brown Act). A legislative body of a local agency may regulate the time, place and manner for speech to ensure orderly discussion. Baca v. Moreno Valley Unified Sch. Dist., 936 F. Supp. 719 (C.D. Cal. 1996) (Brown Act); see, e.g., Ribakoff v. City of Long Beach, 27 Cal. App. 5th 150, 177, 238 Cal. Rptr. 3d 81 (2018) (rejecting plaintiff's First Amendment facial and as applied challenge to board's rule limiting public comment to three minutes); Olson, 33 Cal. App. 5th at 528 (holding limitation on public comment on agenda items to when those items are being considered was not an unreasonable regulation).