

Technical Review

Agenda

Date: September 5, 2023 Meeting Room: L113

https://solano-edu.zoom.us/j/83044072299

					2:	:00 pm – 4	:uu pm			_
1. Call to	Order									
2. Roll C	all									
2. Kon C	an									
Committee	e Officia	ıls			(Committee	Membe	rs		
☐ David W	/illiams		.VP of Academi	c Affairs		☐ Sarah Ba	rsness			Chair
☐ Lisa Abl	bott		Curriculum	Analyst] [☐ Douglas	Green		Applied Tec	hnology & Business
☐ Claire G	over		Meeting Se	ecretary	[☐ James W	/ord		Mathei	matics and Sciences
										Articulation Officer
										nology and Business
Guests			Title			Andrew '	Wesley .		Asses	ssment Coordinator
]					
3. An	proval	Annrovo	al of Minutes fro	m· May	9 20	123				
of	•	Motion:			,					
Minutes Seconded by:										
		Comments/Discussion/								
		Revision	Revisions:							
		Final Re	inal Resolution: Yes		es:	0	Noes:	0	Abstentions	s: 0
		Motion	Motion Passed: Yes		: 🗆		No: □			
	_									
4. Agenda										are considered
Approval routine unless removed from the Consent Items by a Committee member.										
Motion:										
Seconded by:										
		Comments/Discussion/								
		evisions:	lution	Vacca	.	Mass		ΛL	stantions	
		inal Resol Iotion Pa		Yeses		Noes:		AD	stentions:	
	I	יוטנוטוו Pa	33EU.	Yes:		No: □				

5.	Consent
	Itoms

Approval of the agenda will enact all matters listed under the Consent Items which are considered
routine unless removed from the Consent Items by a Committee member.

6a. General

6b. Workload/Class Max								
Course	Rationale:	Change						
DANC 004G, 010A, 010B, 011A								
FTV 011	This is a class that addresses	50 to 35						
	complicated and sometimes							
	contentious issues that require in-							
	depth, interactive discussions.							
	However, substantive discussions							
	and meaningful interactions with							
	students are impossible with 50							
	students in the class. Additionally,							
	the class is too large for small							
	group work or individual student							
	presentations. There are also very							
	few classrooms on campus that							
	can accommodate classes this							
	large and that are also appropriate							
	to teach film.							
FTV 010	Substantive discussions and	50 to 35						
	meaningful interactions with							
	students are impossible with 60							
	students in the class. Additionally,							
	the class is too large for small							
	group work or individual student							
	presentations. There are also very							
	few classrooms on campus that							
	can accommodate classes this							
	large and that are also appropriate							
	to teach film.							

6. Comments from the Public

s	Three minutes are allotted per person **						
	Name	Comments					

7.	Information				
	Items				

No Action Required			
	•		

8. Action Items

No Action Required						
Motion a-b:						
Seconded by:						
Comments/Discussion/						
Revisions:						
Final Resolution:	Yeses:	0	Noes:	0	Abstentions:	0
Motion Passed:	Yes: □	•	No: □	•		_

I. Report from Articulation Officer, Ashlie Lawson

There are no items in this section.

II. Report from Assessment Coordinator, Andrew Wesley

There are no items in this section.

III. Report from Curriculum Analyst, Lisa Abbott

There are no items in this section.

IV. Report from VP of Academic Affairs, David Williams

There are no items in this section.

V. Report from Curriculum Committee Chair, Sarah Barsness

There are no items in this section.

VI. Other

There are no items in this section.

VII. Open Discussion

There are no items in this section.

VIII. Adjournment

ALL MEETINGS WILL BE HELD STARTING AT 2:00 PM ON ZOOM AND IN PERSON LOCATION AS NOTED

Under the Brown Act, the public is entitled to comment on any matter within the subject matter jurisdiction of the legislative body, as well as any agenda item. Cal. Gov't Code § 54954.3(a). See Galbiso v. Orosi Pub. Util. Dist., 167 Cal. App. 4th 1063, 1080, 84 Cal. Rptr. 3d 788 (2008). Under the Brown Act, the legislative body does not have to listen to comments on items that are not within its subject matter jurisdiction. 78 Ops. Cal. Att'y Gen. 224 (1995). And, as under the Bagley-Keene Act, a legislative body under the Brown Act does not have to listen to comments on items that were already considered in a public meeting where there was an opportunity to comment. Cal. Gov't Code § 54954.3(a).

An agency may limit public comment on items described in the agenda to the time when those items are being considered. Olson v. Hornbrook Cmty. Servs. Dist., 33 Cal. App. 5th 502, 528, 245 Cal. Rptr. 3d 236 (March 26, 2019).

In addition, under both Acts, the body may adopt reasonable regulations to ensure that the above provisions are carried out, including but not limited to regulations limiting the total amount of time allocated to each individual speaker for public testimony or comment on particular issues. Cal. Gov't Code §§ 11125.7(b) (Bagley-Keene Act); 54954.3(b) (Brown Act). A legislative body of a local agency may regulate the time, place and manner for speech to ensure orderly discussion. Baca v. Moreno Valley Unified Sch. Dist., 936 F. Supp. 719 (C.D. Cal. 1996) (Brown Act); see, e.g., Ribakoff v. City of Long Beach, 27 Cal. App. 5th 150, 177, 238 Cal. Rptr. 3d 81 (2018) (rejecting plaintiff's First Amendment facial and as applied challenge to board's rule limiting public comment to three minutes); Olson, 33 Cal. App. 5th at 528 (holding limitation on public comment on agenda items to when those items are being considered was not an unreasonable regulation).

^{**}Under the Bagley-Keene Act, a body does not have to listen to comments on matters that are not on the agenda or that were already considered in a public meeting where there was an opportunity to comment. Cal. Gov't Code § 11125.7(a).